

“Defending ignorance is the essence of stupidity.”

The following are my science articles on the 911 building catastrophes. They are written for a general audience, but are not “dumbed down.” If you are willing to read and think, then these reports will show FACTUALLY how these buildings failed. The conclusions stated are based on my quantitative analysis (math) based on actual data, and sound physics - not paranoid and sci-fi fantasies! I have a Ph.D. in engineering physics and worked as a researcher for more than 30 years in that field (high energy stuff).

CounterPunch Special Report, Debunking the Myths of 9/11

(Alexander Cockburn, JoAnn Wypijewski, Manuel García, Jr.)

28 November 2006

<http://www.counterpunch.org/2006/11/28/debunking-the-myths-of-9-11/>

the above cites (has links to) my (Manuel García, Jr.) 3 reports below:

The Physics of 9/11

29 November 2006

<http://www.counterpunch.org/2006/11/28/the-physics-of-9-11/>

The Thermodynamics of 9/11

29 November 2006

<http://www.counterpunch.org/2006/11/28/the-thermodynamics-of-9-11/>

Dark Fire (The Fall of WTC 7)

29 November 2006

<http://www.counterpunch.org/2006/11/28/dark-fire/>

My three articles above were written BEFORE the final NIST report on WTC7 was issued in 2008. I had used the extensive interim and nearly final reports (except for WTC7) available in 2006. After reading that report, I wrote the following article to update my “Dark Fire” article, and to point out where I was wrong and where I was right (which was mostly).

The Big Heat (Thermal Expansion Downs WTC 7)

22 August 2008

<http://www.counterpunch.org/2008/08/22/the-big-heat/>